| | Case 2:06-cv-01653-JLR Document 49 Filed 08/06/07 | Page 1 of 2 |
|----|--|-------------|
| | | |
| 01 | 01 | |
| 02 | 02 | |
| 03 | 03 | |
| 04 | 04 | |
| 05 | 05 | |
| 06 | 06 | |
| 07 | 07 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 08 | WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE | |
| 09 | 09 RONALD BUZZARD, JR.,) CASE NO. C06-1653 | -JLR-MAT |
| 10 | Petitioner, | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | 12 DARREN SWENSON,) TO AMENDED PET | ITION |
| 13 | Respondent. | |
| 14 | 14 | |
| 15 | Respondent seeks dismissal of petitioner's amended habeas petition as untimely filed. | |
| 16 | (Dkt. 41.) Respondent asserts that, pursuant to this Court's May 3, 2007 Order (Dkt. 34), | |
| 17 | petitioner's amended petition was due for filing by June 4, 2007. Respondent avers that, although | |
| 18 | signed on June 6, 2007 (see Dkt. 37), petitioner did not put his amended petition in the prison mail | |
| 19 | system until June 13, 2007. He argues that petitioner, therefore, untimely submitted his amended | |
| 20 | pleading nine days after the June 4, 2007 deadline. See Houston v. Lack, 487 U.S. 266, 270-71 | |
| 21 | (1988) (notice of appeal deemed filed on the day that it was signed and delivered to prison | |
| 22 | authorities for mailing to the court). | |
| | ORDER RE: RESPONSE TO AMENDED PETITION PAGE -1 | |

In support of the contention that petitioner filed his amended petition nine days after the

01 02 June 4, 2007 deadline, respondent attaches a handwritten document with a June 13, 2007 date stamp reflecting that petitioner mailed something to this Court and counsel for respondent on that 03 date. (See Dkt. 41, Ex. 1.) Respondent contends that this document is the "Prison Mail Log" id. at 3) establishing when petitioner gave his amended petition to prison authorities for mailing. Yet, 05 06 respondent fails to provide an affidavit or any other documentation supporting this contention. Without such additional support, the Court finds itself unable to determine when petitioner gave his amended petition to prison authorities for mailing. See, e.g., Caldwell v. Amend, 30 F.3d 1199, 1202-03 (9th Cir. 1994) (where the prisoner submits an affidavit as to the date the 09 documents were submitted to prison authorities, the burden "shifts to the opposing party . . . [to]

11

12

13

15

17

18

Accordingly, the Court hereby ORDERS:

produc[e] evidence in support of a contrary factual finding.")

Respondent shall provide one or more affidavits and any other relevant (1) documentation supporting its contention as to when petitioner delivered his amended petition to prison authorities for filing. Respondent shall submit the requested affidavit(s) and documentation on or before August 27, 2007 and the Court hereby renotes all pending motions in this case for consideration as of that same date.

(2) The Clerk shall direct copies of this Order to petitioner, to counsel for respondent, and to the Honorable James L. Robart.

DATED this 6th day of August, 2007.

21

20

22

United States Magistrate Judge